Interview Summary	Application No. 09/347,523	Applicant(s)		
	Examiner	<u> </u>	Art Unit	
	Dung Nguyen		2871	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) Dung Nguyen	(3)			
(2) Benjamin M. Halpern				
Date of Interview Oct 24, 2002				
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes				
Claim(s) discussed: Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) W was reached.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	nature of what was	agreed to if	an agreement wa	s reached, or
Applicant's representative has provides their argument to s	how the differences	between the	e new invention a	nd the cited
prior art. The amended claims overcomes the last office acon the amended claims.				
on the amended claims.	•			
		··-		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ments which the exa	miner agree	ed would render th uld render the cla	ne claims ims allowable is
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	ate record of the sub	stance of th	ne interview (if bo	x is checked).

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

DUNG NAUYER GBU 2871

Examiner's signature, if required

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached